# Noise Performance of RTD-gated plasma-wave HEMT THz Detectors

Jimy J. Encomendero-Risco<sup>a</sup>, Berardi Sensale-Rodriguez<sup>a,b</sup>, and Huili Grace Xing<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame IN 46556, USA <sup>b</sup>Electrical & Computer Engineering Department, University of Utah, Salt Lake City UT 84112, USA

Abstract— In this paper, we study the noise performance of RTD-gated plasma-wave HEMT THz detectors. It is shown that noise in these devices is dominated by gate tunneling shot noise, and that a smaller effective electron mass promises much improved noise performance by boosting the responsivity while slightly decreasing the noise spectral density (NSD). This implies that it is desirable to realize RTD-gated plasma-wave HEMT THz detectors in material systems with low effective mass.

## I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

ELECTRON plasma-wave enabled high-responsivity THz detection at room temperature (RT) [1, 2] has been recently experimentally reported in high electron mobility transistor (HEMT) structures. Compared to Si MOSFET based THz detection [3], the advantage of HEMT based detection is its intrinsically high responsivity. In addition to their record high RT responsivity > 2 kV/W, these devices can allow for very low RT noise equivalent power (NEP) ~ 15 pW/Hz<sup>0.5</sup> at 1 THz [2], which is similar to / or better than that of Schottky diode detectors (~ 5 - 40 pW/Hz<sup>0.5</sup>).

In this context, resonant tunnel diode (RTD) gated plasmawave HEMTs have been shown to be capable of outperforming traditional HEMTs in terms of responsivity as THz detectors [4]. This is because the negative differential conductance of the RTD gate stack can counteract the electron-plasma wave damping in the HEMT channel [4-5].

An important parameter of detectors is the noise equivalent power (NEP). Since in the NDC region, an RTD exhibits shot noise enhancement as shown by Brown [6], it is a valid question to ask whether NEP degrades or improves in RTDgated THz detectors. It is found that the augmented shot noise in the NDC region indeed leads to a larger noise spectral density (NSD). However a lower NEP is obtained due to a much enhanced responsivity than that in HEMT detectors without RTD gate. Furthermore, we compare the NEP of RTD-gated plasma-wave HEMTs based on GaN and InGaAs.

## II. RESULTS

Shot noise is produced due to the particle nature of electrons, manifested in a current flow carried by electrons with uncertainty in their number. Brown studied analytically the shot noise produced by a general RTD structure and showed that the shot-noise factor  $\gamma$  can be expressed by [6]:

$$\gamma = 1 + 2M + M^2 \tag{1}$$

Where M, the transmission modulation function; in the NDC region can be reduced to:

$$M \approx \frac{e^{2} (m)^{3/2}}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}\hbar^{3}\varepsilon} (L_{B} + L_{w}/2) (2L_{B} + L_{W} + 2/\alpha) \frac{\phi_{B}^{2} E_{f}^{c}}{E_{1}^{3/2} (\phi_{B} - E_{1})} e^{2\alpha L_{B}} , (2)$$

assuming that the RTD barriers are thin and identical and the Fermi level is above conduction band edge at the anode and

cathode of the RTD. Figure 1 shows the device structure as well as the band diagram for a GaN RTD-gated HEMT under zero bias. Devices with a HEMT channel and a RTD well made of GaN,  $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$  and  $In_{0.8}Ga_{0.2}As$  are analyzed. Each device is biased so that it exhibits its peak responsivity at 1.3 THz (Fig. 1c). The gate length of the devices is 150-nm.

The results in terms of bias, responsivity, NSD, and NEP are organized in Table 1. It is clear that the RTD-gated plasma-wave HEMTs based on lower effective mass materials (i.e.  $In_{0.8}Ga_{0.2}As$ ) exhibit better performance in terms of NEP.



Fig. 1 GaN-based RTD-gated plasma-wave HEMT structure (a), band diagram (b), and responsivity (c).

Table 1 Comparison of various HEMT THz detectors without (GaN\*) and with RTD gate

	GaN*	GaN	In <sub>0.53</sub> Ga <sub>0.47</sub> As	In <sub>0.8</sub> Ga <sub>0.2</sub> As
γ	-	18.5	2.03	1.95
<i>m</i> *	0.2m <sub>0</sub>	0.2m <sub>0</sub>	0.04 m <sub>0</sub>	0.03 m <sub>0</sub>
Responsivity [V/W]	80	6200	22000	31800
DC Bias [V]	1	1	0.23	0.16
$n_s [\times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}]$	4.3	4	0.98	0.71
NSD [nV/Hz <sup>0.5</sup> ]	0.5	3	1	0.95
NEP [pW/Hz <sup>0.5</sup> ]	6.2	0.5	0.05	0.03

#### **III.** CONCLUSION

We have theoretically compared plasma-wave HEMT THz detectors with and without RTD gate. Since the device is operated under a low-field regime, its performance is dominated by the carrier mobility and effective mass. Furthermore, despite of the shot noise enhancement, the largely improved responsivity of RTD-gated HEMTs might lead to better NEP. The overall performance can be boost by employing low effective mass materials.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Dyakonov and M. S. Shur, Phys. Rev. Lett., 71(15), 2465 (1993).
- [2] T. Watanabe et al, Solid-State Electronics, 78, 109 (2012).
- [3] F. Z. Meng et al, IRMMW-THz, vol. 1, no. 2, 5 (2010).
- [4] B. Sensale-Rodriguez et al, ECS Transactions, 49(1), 93 (2012).
- [5] V. Ryzhii et al, J. Appl. Phys., vol. 88, no. 5, 2868 (2000).
- [6] E. Brown, IEEE Trans. Electron Dev., 39(12), 2686 (1992).